## For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
MICHELE SPENCE, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	No. C 11-04961 JSW
v.	ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,	TO SHOW CAUSE; VACATING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE: AND ORDER RE

**MOTION TO DÍSMISS** 

The Court has received Plaintiffs' response to the Court's Second Order to Show Cause. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have demonstrated good cause for their failure to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss and for their failure to respond to the Court's first Order to Show Cause. Accordingly, the Court HEREBY DISCHARGES the Order to Show Cause without imposing sanctions. However, if this matter is not transferred to the MDL, and if Plaintiffs' counsel misses any deadlines going forward, the Court shall not hesitate to impose monetary sanctions.

The parties also have agreed to stay this action pending transfer to an MDL proceeding. A separate order approving that stipulation shall be entered this date. In light of that stipulation, the Case Management Conference scheduled for February 17, 2012 is VACATED. If this matter is not transferred to the MDL proceeding, the Court shall reset the case management conference.

Finally, the parties have agreed that Plaintiffs should be permitted to file a belated
opposition to the motion to dismiss. Accordingly, Plaintiffs shall electronically file the
opposition attached as Exhibit B to the Response to the Order to Show Cause, and the
opposition shall be deemed timely filed. Notwithstanding the stay Order, Defendants shall file
a reply brief by February 10, 2012.

If this matter is not transferred to the MDL, the Court will review the motion to dismiss and shall notify the parties if it believes that a hearing is necessary.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 30, 2012

